

From: [Joy Smyth](#)
To: [Craig Cunningham](#)
Cc: [Rebecca Hindson](#); [Smith, Kirsty](#)
Subject: RE: ECU00004966 - Glen Lednock Wind Farm
Date: 14 May 2026 10:43:00
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image002.jpg](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)
[image005.png](#)
[image006.png](#)

Good morning Craig,

Thank you for your email which Rebecca has forwarded to me for reply.

As you are aware, the Court of Session recently issued its decision in Raeshaw Farms Limited v Scottish Ministers and Energiekontor [2026] ([2026csih10-raeshaw-farms-limited-against-scottish-ministers-and-another.pdf](#)). Since the Court of Session decision may have implications for this application, further clarification is required.

The Scottish Ministers transferred the above application to the Directorate of Planning and Environmental Appeals (DPEA) on 23rd February 2026 however, as the application was transferred to the DPEA after the Court's decision, ECU and the DPEA have agreed it should be transferred back to ECU to obtain the further clarification required prior to being returned to the DPEA if necessary. You may have already provided some of this information within your EIA Report however we now require you to provide the information in the format below. I would be grateful if you could therefore confirm the following:

- what are the implications of the Raeshaw Farms judgment with regards to the above application
- whether the wind farm and its grid connection are considered one project for the purposes of EIA – please note that where an applicant considers that they are not one project, justification will be required outlining how this conclusion has been reached
- where it is considered that that the wind farm and the grid connection are one project, have the significant effects of the project, including the grid connection, been adequately assessed in the EIA report?
 - if the significant effects have been adequately assessed, please provide further justification outlining how this conclusion has been reached
 - if the significant effects have not been adequately assessed, please advise what further assessments are required and the likely timescales involved in gathering this information
- where it is considered that the wind farm and grid connection are not one project, has any consideration be given to any likely significant cumulative effects of the proposed development and its grid connection?
- are all likely significant effects of the project identifiable at this stage?
 - If the answer to above question is “no”, please provide justification outlining why are the significant effects not identifiable at this stage and how should the Scottish Ministers address that issue in determining the present application?

I would be grateful if you can respond as soon as possible, but no later than **28 May 2026**. You may have more than one application with us. We are reviewing cases on a staggered basis, and we will be in touch regarding each one individually.

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to get in contact.

Kind Regards,

Joy

Joy Smyth | Case Manager | Energy Consents Unit

 | joy.smyth@gov.scot

To view our current casework please visit www.energyconsents.scot



From: Craig Cunningham <Craig.Cunningham@lowcarbon.com>

Sent: 11 May 2026 17:26

To: Rebecca Hindson <rebecca.hindson@gov.scot>

Cc: Smith, Kirsty <kirstysmith@eversheds-sutherland.com>

Subject: ECU00004966 - Glen Lednock Wind Farm

Dear Rebecca,

I hope you are well.

We've recently seen a case status change on the DPEA website for our Glen Lednock project back to "Draft Case" and the case owner has advised that the project is being transferred back to the ECU. We understand this to be as a consequence of the ongoing Wull Muir / Raeshaw judgement. Please can you advise your understanding of this and when we will receive formal correspondence from the ECU on this matter?

Thanks

Craig

Craig Cunningham

Senior Project Development Manager



M [+44 \(0\)7356 110 732](tel:+44(0)7356110732)

Powering Tomorrow

www.lowcarbon.com

